

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/602,852

Applicant(s)

CAMPBELL ET AL.

Examiner

Helen L. Pezzuto

Art Unit

1713

**All Participants:**(1) Helen L. Pezzuto.(2) Michael Morgan.**Status of Application:** new

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*


Claims discussed:

*2-4*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The punctuation issues regarding claims 2 and 4, and the 112 issue regarding the "most preferable" expressed in claim 3 were discussed.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)